

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/695,315	DRAGER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert B. Davis	1722	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Robert B. Davis.

(3) _____.

(2) Lawrence P. Kessler.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 November 2006

Time: 10:45

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 None.

Claims discussed:
 11 and 12.


Prior art documents discussed:
 None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested amending claim 11 to include the subject matter of claims 12, 14 or 15 into claim 11 to place the case in condition for allowance in a voicemail of 11/17/06. Mr. Kessler called the examiner on Monday to suggest placing the subject matter of claim 12 into claim 11 as shown in the examiner's amendment. The examiner agreed with Mr. Kessler's suggestion..